



Observation and Control of Collaborative Systems (OCCS) DFG SPP 1183 Organic Computing

Hartmut Schmeck, Urban Richter, and Nugroho Fredivianus (Institute AIFB, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology) Christian Müller-Schloer, Jörg Hähner, and Emre Cakar (Institute SRA, Leibniz Universität Hannover) Jürgen Branke (Warwick Business School, University of Warwick)

www.aifb.uni-karlsruhe.de/EffAlg/Projekt/otcqe

Goals

- Concentration on distributed and collaborative o/c architectures
- Dealing with collective learning as part of the distributed controllers
- Systematic investigation of collaboration patterns in OC systems
- Quantifying robustness and flexibility
- Developing the capability of generating reasonable predictions

Observer/Controller Architecture



- The system under observation and control (SuOC) consists of a set of interacting intelligent autonomous units.
- The observer measures, analyses, and reports the system behaviour to the controller.
- The controller applies adequate actions to the

Collaboration Patterns in OC Systems

A scenario with slow predators and a fast prey which move and interact on a two-dimensional grid according to a repulsion/attraction model.



The repulsion and attractionThe atvectors of a predatorsum of

The attraction vector and the sum of both repulsion vectors

- Non-collaborative behaviour: The repulsion parameters (P) are all set to 0, i.e., each predator pursues the prey on its own without considering other predators.
- Collaborative behaviour:

- A predator is attracted by the prey and repelled by other predators.
- The prey is repelled by the predators and also by the boundaries of the environment.
- Each predator uses a single parameter (P) to adapt the magnitude of the repulsion vectors from other predators.







Learning Architectures in OC Systems

- Implementation of XCS in OC systems using individual, common and two-level rule bases.
- The architectures were tested using the predator/ prey pursuit scenario.
- The common rule base learns quicker than the individual rule base, but



System without disturbance



The repulsion parameters have a non-zero value, i.e., the predators consider each other while moving, and pursue the prey collectively. The non-collaborative system The collaborative system

The non-collaborative systemThe collaborative systemwhere each predator tries towhere predators pursue thepursue the prey individually.prey collectively.

• The predators adapt their repulsion parameters using a swarm-based optimisation algorithm similar to Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO).

Investigation of more sophisticated collaboration patterns using two different groups of predators



- **Result**: Neither the non-collaborative nor the fully collaborative system pro-vides the best system performance.
- The optimum is between the non-col-
- Predators optimise two repulsion parameters P_{int} and P_{ext} to determine their internal and external group behavior.
 Systematic investigation of different interaction patterns of the predators between the fully collaborative and the non-collaborative one.



performs inferior in the long run.

 The two-level rule base combines the advantages of both individual and common rule bases and performs better against disturbance on predator's receiver. One disturbed predator at tick 10,000 (falsely recognises the XCS output)



laborative and the fully collaborative system behaviour.



0000 0001 0010 0011 0101 0110 0111 1010 1011 1111 The non-collaborative system Interaction patterns of the robots in the observation scenario

• The optimal system behaviour is achieved with collaborative predators that chase the prey towards non-collaborative ones.



Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG